

**TOPOLOGIES OF HASHIMOTO TYPE WITH RESPECT
 TO SIGMA-IDEAL OF COUNTABLE SETS**

SEBASTIAN LINDNER[‡]

© 2004 for University of Łódź Press

Abstract. The paper deals with properties of and interrelations between three topologies on the real line finer than the natural topology. Also classes of real functions of a real variable, which are continuous, when the domain and the range are equipped with one of these topologies, are examined. Topologies are similar to that studied in [3] and some properties of classes of continuous functions resemble that in [1].

In the sequel \mathcal{T} will stand for a natural topology on the real line \mathbf{R} , J_0 -for the σ -ideal of countable subsets of \mathbf{R} , and \mathbf{Q} -for the set of rational numbers.

Proposition 1. (See [3]). *The family of sets*

$$\mathcal{T}_1 = \{G \subset \mathbf{R} : \exists G_0 \in \mathcal{T} \exists I \in J_0 G = G_0 \setminus I\}$$

is a topology finer than the natural topology.

Let $\{A_s\}_{s \in S} = \mathbf{R}/\sim$, where \sim is the equivalence relation defined by $x \sim y$ iff $x - y \in \mathbf{Q}$. Recall that $\text{card}(S) = \text{continuum}$.

Proposition 2. *The family of sets*

$$\mathcal{B} = \{B \subset \mathbf{R} : B = (a, b) \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_{s_n} \text{ for some } a, b \in \mathbf{R} \\ \text{and some sequence } \{s_n\}_{n \in \mathbf{N}} \text{ of elements of } S\}$$

is a basis for a topology on the real line.

Proposition 3. *The family of sets*

$$\mathcal{C} = \{C \subset \mathbf{R} : C = (a, b) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n A_{s_i} \text{ for some } a, b \in \mathbf{R} \\ \text{and some finite sequence } \{s_i\}_1^n \text{ of elements of } S\}$$

[‡]Faculty of Mathematics, University of Łódź, Banacha 22 St., 90–238 Łódź, Poland.
 E-mail: lindner@imul.uni.lodz.pl.

Key words and phrases: Hashimoto topology.
AMS subject classifications: 54A10.

is a basis for a topology on the real line.

The proofs of Propositions 2 and 3 are straightforward. Observe that for each $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ ($C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{C}$, respectively) if $B_1 \cap B_2 \neq \emptyset$ ($C_1 \cap C_2 \neq \emptyset$, respectively), then $B_1 \cap B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$ ($C_1 \cap C_2 \in \mathcal{C}$, resp.). For properties of a basis see, for example [2].

In the sequel we shall denote by \mathcal{T}_2 (\mathcal{T}_3 , resp.) a topology generated by \mathcal{B} (\mathcal{C} , resp.). Obviously \mathcal{T}_2 and \mathcal{T}_3 are finer than a natural topology.

Proposition 4.

$$\mathcal{T} \subsetneq \mathcal{T}_3 \subsetneq \mathcal{T}_2 \subsetneq \mathcal{T}_1.$$

Proof. The inclusions are obvious. To prove the inequalities observe, that if

$$G = (-1, 1) \setminus [\mathbf{Q} \setminus \{0\}],$$

then $G \in \mathcal{T}_1 \setminus \mathcal{T}_2$. Indeed, $G \in \mathcal{T}_1$ by definition. Suppose now, that $G \in \mathcal{T}_2$. Then G is an union of elements of \mathcal{B} , $G = \bigcup_{t \in T} B_t$ for some T , where $B_t \in \mathcal{B}$ for $t \in T$. Consequently, there exists $t_0 \in T$ such that $0 \in B_{t_0}$. Since $B_{t_0} = (a_0, b_0) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{s_i}$ for some $a_0, b_0 \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\{s_i\}_{i \in \mathbf{N}}$ from S , then B_{t_0} contains all rational numbers from the interval (a_0, b_0) . But this contradicts the inclusion $B_{t_0} \subset G$ and G does not contain any rational number different from 0.

Suppose now that $B = (0, 1) \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{s_i}$, where $s_i \neq s_j$ for $i \neq j$. It is not difficult to see that $B \in \mathcal{T}_2 \setminus \mathcal{T}_3$. \square

Proposition 5. \mathcal{T}_i is Hausdorff and not regular for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

Proof. All considered topologies are Hausdorff, because they are finer than the natural topology. To prove the second assertion take $F = [1, 2] \cap \mathbf{Q}$ and $x_0 = \sqrt{2}$. Obviously F is closed in \mathcal{T}_i (for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$).

Suppose that there exist $U_1, U_2 \in \mathcal{T}_1$ such that $F \subset U_1$, $\sqrt{2} \in U_2$, $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$. Hence $U_2 = G_2 - I_2$, where G_2 is open in the natural topology and I_2 is a countable set. Let $q \in [1, 2] \cap \mathbf{Q} \cap G_2$. Then there exists G_1 -open in the natural topology and a countable set I_1 such that $q \in G_1 - I_1 \subset U_1$. Therefore $q \in (G_2 \cap G_1)$, so $(G_2 \cap G_1)$ -nonempty open set. Then

$$(G_2 - I_2) \cap (G_1 - I_1) = (G_2 \cap G_1) \setminus (I_2 \cup I_1) \neq \emptyset,$$

so $U_1 \cap U_2 \neq \emptyset$ is a contradiction.

Also F and x_0 cannot be separated in coarser topologies \mathcal{T}_2 and \mathcal{T}_3 . \square

Let $C(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_i)$ be a class of all continuous functions $f : (\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{T}) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{T}_i)$ for $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$.

Proposition 6. $C(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_3)$ is a class of all constant functions.

Proof. Suppose that there exists a function $f \in C(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_3)$, which is not constant. So $f(x_1) < f(x_2)$ for some $x_1 \neq x_2$. For instance suppose that $x_1 < x_2$. Let G be the set of all irrational numbers. Then $G \in \mathcal{T}_3$ and $f^{-1}(G) \in \mathcal{T}$. Hence $f^{-1}(G) = \bigcup_n (a_n, b_n)$, where the union is at most countable. Since $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{T}_3$, $f \in C(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T})$. If f were constant on each (a_n, b_n) , then $f(f^{-1}(G))$ would be at most countable, but it is impossible, because $f(f^{-1}(G)) \supset [f(x_1), f(x_2)] \setminus \mathbf{Q}$. Then there exists n_0 such that $f((a_{n_0}, b_{n_0}))$ is a non-degenerate interval, which is impossible since $f((a_{n_0}, b_{n_0})) \subset f(f^{-1}(G)) \subset G$. This contradiction ends the proof. \square

Corollary 1. $C(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_1)$ and $C(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}_2)$ consist only of constant functions.

Proof. The proof is obvious by virtue of Proposition 4. \square

From Theorem 34.1 in [4], p. 78 it follows immediately, that

Proposition 7. $C(\mathcal{T}_1, \mathcal{T}) = C(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T})$.

Corollary 2. $C(\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T}) = C(\mathcal{T}_3, \mathcal{T}) = C(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T})$.

Proposition 8. The topological spaces $(\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{T}_1)$ and $(\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{T}_2)$ are not homeomorphic.

Proof. Suppose that $f : (\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{T}_2) \rightarrow (\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{T}_1)$ is a homeomorphism. Then f is bijective and ordinary continuous function, by virtue of Corollary 2, since $f \in C(\mathcal{T}_2, \mathcal{T})$. Take $G = (-1, 1) \setminus [\mathbf{Q} \setminus \{0\}]$. Then $G \notin \mathcal{T}_2$, by virtue of proof of Proposition 4. But $f(G) = f((-1, 1) \setminus ([\mathbf{Q} \setminus \{0\}])$ is a difference of a non-degenerate open interval and a countable set, so $f(G) \in \mathcal{T}_1$ -a contradiction. \square

Proposition 9. The spaces $(\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{T}_2)$ and $(\mathbf{R}, \mathcal{T}_3)$ are not homeomorphic. Moreover, the class $C(\mathcal{T}_3, \mathcal{T}_2)$ is equal to the class of all constant functions.

Proof. Let $f \in C(\mathcal{T}_3, \mathcal{T}_2)$. Let $\{s_1, s_2, \dots\}$ be a sequence of different elements of a set S , described in the connection with \mathbf{R}/\sim . Observe, that:

(1) $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{s_i}$ is not closed in \mathcal{T}_3 , moreover, the closure of this set (in \mathcal{T}_3) is equal to \mathbf{R} .

(2) The set $F = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} f(A_{s_i})$ is at most countable. Then $F^* = \bigcup_{x \in F} [x]_{\sim}$ is closed in \mathcal{T}_2 . From the facts that $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{s_i} \subset f^{-1}(F^*)$, and $f^{-1}(F^*)$ is closed in \mathcal{T}_3 , and (1) we have $f^{-1}(F^*) = \mathbf{R}$.

To sum up: $f \in C(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T})$, and $f(\mathbf{R})$ is countable. Then f is constant. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Aversa, W. Wilczyński, *Classes of continuous functions*, Atti Sem. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena **44** (1996), pp. 385–389
- [2] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Heldermann, Berlin 1989.

- [3] H. Hashimoto, *On the * topology and its applications*, Fund. Math., **91** (1976), pp. 5–10.
- [4] B. Thomson, *Real functions*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer–Verlag Berlin 1985.